NPS-53-86-012

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY CALIFORNIA 93943

R. C. AUSTIN   D. A. SCHRADY
Rear Admiral, U. S. Navy   Provost
Superintendent

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NPS-53-86-012	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Special Methods for Problems Whose Oscillatory Solution is Damped		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report 04/86 - 09/86
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Beny Neta		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE September 1986
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 19
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/ DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

ordinary differential equations, exponentially-fitted methods, shallow water equations, damped oscillations

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

This paper introduces methods tailored especially for problems whose solution behave like $e^{\lambda x}$, where λ is complex. The shallow water equations with topography admit such solution.

This paper complements the results of Pratt and others on exponential-fitted methods and those of Gautschi, Neta, van der Houwen and others on trigonometrically-fitted methods.

ABSTRACT

This paper introduces methods tailored especially for problems whose solution behaves like $e^{\lambda x}$, where λ is complex. The shallow water equations with topography admit such solution.

This paper complements the results of Pratt and others on exponential-fitted methods and those of Gautschi, Neta, van der Houwen and others on trigonometrically-fitted methods.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider linear multistep methods

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} a_{\ell} y_{n+1-\ell} = h \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_{\ell} f(x_{n+1-\ell}, y_{n+1-\ell}), \quad k \geq 1, \; n \geq k-1 \qquad (1)$$

for integrating the initial value problem

$$y'(x) = f(x,y(x)), \quad y(x_0) = y_0 . \qquad (2)$$

This linear multistep method is characterized by the polynomials

$$\rho(\zeta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} a_{\ell} \zeta^{k-\ell} , \qquad \sigma(\zeta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_{\ell} \zeta^{k-\ell} . \qquad (3)$$

The main assumption of this paper is that it is a priori known that the solution is approximately of the form

$$y(x) \sim c_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j e^{i\lambda_j t} \qquad (4)$$

where $\lambda_j = w_j + i\psi_j$, and the frequencies w_j are in a given interval $[w_\ell, w_u]$.

The special case where $\lambda_j = jw_0$ with w_0 given was considered first by Gautschi [8]. His approach was the following. Let:

$$\phi(z) = \rho(e^z) - z\sigma(e^z) \tag{5}$$

then the local truncation error of (1) is given by Lambert [11]

$$T_{n+k} = \phi(h \frac{d}{dt}) y(t_n) . \tag{6}$$

Inserting (4) in (6) yields

$$T_{n+k} \sim \phi(0)c_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \phi(ih\lambda_j) e^{i\lambda_j t} , \quad \lambda_j = jw_0 . \tag{7}$$

The coefficients b_i are chosen in such a way that

$$\phi(ihjw_0) = 0 , \quad j = 0,1,\ldots,q , \tag{8}$$

for the largest value of q possible. q is then called the trigonometric order of the method. Gautschi has chosen a_i such that the methods are of Adams and Stormer type. However, these methods are sensitive to changes in the frequency w_0. Neta and Ford [13] developed Nystrom and generalized Milne-Simpson type methods. These methods showed less sensitivity to perturbation in w_0 but require the eigenvalues of the Jacobian to be purely imaginary. Neta [14] has developed families of backward differentiation methods that overcome the above-mentioned restriction. Salzer [17] has developed

3

predictor-corrector methods based on trigonometric polynomials.
See also Steifel and Bettis [18] and Bettis [3]. Van der Houwen
and Sommeijer [10] have developed an alternative approach. The
conditions (8) were replaced by

$$\phi(0) = 0 ,$$

$$\tag{9}$$

$$\phi(ih\lambda^{(j)}) = 0 , \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,q ,$$

where the $\lambda^{(j)}$ are appropriately chosen points in the interval
$[w_\ell, w_u]$.

An advantage of this so-called minimax approach over the fitting
approach is the increased accuracy in cases where no accurate esti-
mate of w_0 is available or when the frequency is varying in time.

The other special case considered in the literature is where
$\lambda_j = i w_j$. Probably the first article on the subject is due to Brock
and Murray [5]. They discuss the use of exponential sums in the
integration of a system of first order ordinary differential equations.
Dennis [7] also suggested special methods for problems whose solution
is exponential. He suggested a transformation of variables. More
recently, Carroll [6] has developed exponentially fitted one-step
methods for the scalar Riccati equation. For the general first
order system of equations, Pratt [16] suggests methods based on the
three parameter exponential function

$$I(x) = A + Be^{zx} . \tag{10}$$

4

The parameters A, B are given in terms of values of y and f.
Several possibilities for z are given based on results of Brandon
[2] and Babcock et al. [1].

Lyche [12] analyzes multistep methods which exactly integrate
the set $\{x^m e^{w_n x}\}$, where w_n is real or imaginary.

In this article we developed various methods fitting exponentials
and methods obtained via the minimax approach.

2. Construction of Methods

2.1 Fitting Methods

In this subsection we discuss various fitting methods. To this
end, we separate $\phi(ihj\lambda) = 0$, $j = 1,2,\ldots,q$, into real and imaginary
parts. This yields the following equations relating the coefficients
a_ℓ, b_ℓ,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} a_\ell e^{j\mu(k-\ell)} \cos j\nu(k-\ell) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_\ell e^{j\mu(k-\ell)} [j\mu \cos j\nu(k-\ell) - j\nu \sin j\nu(k-\ell)] = 0 ,$$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} a_\ell e^{j\mu(k-\ell)} \sin j\nu(k-\ell) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_\ell e^{j\mu(k-\ell)} [j\mu \sin j\nu(k-\ell) + j\nu \cos j\nu(k-\ell)] = 0 ,$$

(11)

where $\lambda = w + i\psi$, $\mu = -h\psi$, $\nu = hw$, $j = 1,2,\ldots,q$.
For explicit methods, $b_0 = 0$. For Adams type methods $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = -1$
$a_i = 0$ for $i = 2,\ldots,k$. For Nystrom or generalized Milne-Simpson
methods $a_0 = 1$, $a_2 = -1$ and other $a_i = 0$.

k = 1 Implicit

Adams

$$b_0 = \frac{\nu e^{-\mu} + \mu \sin\nu - \nu \cos\nu}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)\,\sin\,\nu} ,$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\nu e^{\mu} - \mu \sin\nu - \nu \cos\nu}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)\,\sin\,\nu} .$$

(12)

For $\psi = 0$, the coefficients become $b_0 = \dfrac{1 - \cos\,\nu}{\sin\,\nu} = b_1$, which agree with Gautschi [8] if the coefficients are expanded in Taylor series with respect to ν.

k = 2 Explicit

Adams

$$b_1 = \frac{(\mu \sin 2\nu - \nu \cos 2\nu)e^{\mu} + \nu \cos\nu - \mu \sin\nu}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)\,\sin\,\nu} ,$$

$$b_2 = \frac{(\nu \cos\nu - \mu \sin\nu)e^{2\mu} - \nu e^{\mu}}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)\,\sin\,\nu} .$$

(13)

Nystrom

$$b_1 = \frac{(\mu \sin 2\nu - \nu \cos 2\nu)e^{\mu} + \nu e^{-\mu}}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)\,\sin\,\nu} ,$$

$$b_2 = \frac{(\nu \cos\nu - \mu \sin\nu)e^{2\mu} + \nu e^{-\mu}}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)\,\sin\,\nu} .$$

(14)

For $\psi = 0$, the coefficients become $b_1 = 2\,\dfrac{\sin\,\nu}{\nu}$, $b_2 = 0$ which agree with Neta [14].

k = 2 Implicit

In this case, one obtains a one-parameter family of (Adams, generalized Milne-Simpson) methods of trigonometric order 1. The free parameter can be used to increase the algebraic order of the method as in [13].

Backward Differentiation

$$e^{2\mu} \cos 2\nu + a_1 e^{\mu} \cos \nu + a_2 - b_0 e^{2\mu}(\mu \cos 2\nu - \nu \sin 2\nu) = 0 ,$$

$$e^{2\mu} \sin 2\nu + a_1 e^{\mu} \sin \nu \qquad - b_0 e^{2\mu}(\mu \sin 2\nu + \nu \cos 2\nu) = 0 , \qquad (15)$$

$$1 \qquad\qquad + a_1 \qquad\qquad + a_2 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad = 0 .$$

This system can be solved by MACSYMA (Project MAC's SYmbolic MAnipulation system written in LISP and used for performing symbolic as well as numerical mathematical manipulation [4]) or by REDUCE [9]. The solution is

$$a_1 = \frac{\nu e^{2\mu} - \mu \sin 2\nu - \nu \cos 2\nu}{-e^{\mu}(\nu \cos \nu + \mu \sin \nu) + \mu \sin 2\nu + \nu \cos 2\nu} ,$$

$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (16)$$

$$a_2 = -1 - a_1 ,$$

$$b_0 = \frac{-e^{2\mu} \sin \nu + e^{\mu} \sin 2\nu - \sin \nu}{-e^{2\mu}(\nu \cos \nu + \mu \sin \nu) + e^{\mu}(\mu \sin 2\nu + \nu \cos 2\nu)} .$$

For $\psi = 0$, the coefficients agree with those given in [14].

k = 3 Explicit

Again here, one obtains a one-parameter family of methods of trigonometric order 1. In order to get methods of trigonometric order 2, one has to construct a 3 step implicit method of Adams or generalized Milne-Simpson type. In order to increase the trigonometric order without going to a higher step number, one can construct linear multistep methods for which the coefficients a_ℓ are also functions of ψ, w. Some examples are given in the next subsection.

2.2 Generalized Fitting Methods

In this section, we construct some linear mulstistep methods of the form

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} a_\ell(\lambda)y_{n+1-\ell} = h \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_\ell(\lambda)f_{n+1-\ell} \ , \quad k \geq 1, \ n \geq k-1 \ . \quad (17)$$

Since a_ℓ are functions of λ one has more free parameters for his disposal which can be used to obtain higher trigonometric order methods with relatively lower step number.

k = 2 Implicit

In this case, one has to solve the following linear system of five equations for the parameters a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2 to obtain a method of trigonometric order 2.

$$1 + a_1 \qquad\qquad + a_2 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad = 0 ,$$

$$e^{2\mu} \cos 2\nu + a_1 e^{\mu} \cos \nu + a_2 - b_0 e^{2\mu}(\mu \cos 2\nu - \nu \sin 2\nu)$$
$$- b_1 e^{\mu}(\mu \cos \nu - \nu \sin \nu) - \mu b_2 = 0 ,$$

$$e^{2\mu} \sin 2\nu + a_1 e^{\mu} \sin \nu \qquad - b_0 e^{2\mu}(\mu \sin 2\nu + \nu \cos 2\nu)$$
$$- b_1 e^{\mu}(\mu \sin \nu + \nu \cos \nu) - \nu b_2 = 0 , \qquad (18)$$

$$e^{4\mu} \cos 4\nu + a_1 e^{2\mu} \cos 2\nu + a_2 - b_0 e^{4\mu}(2\mu \cos 4\nu - 2\nu \sin 4\nu)$$
$$- b_1 e^{2\mu}(2\mu \cos 2\nu - 2\nu \sin 2\nu) - 2\mu b_2 = 0 ,$$

$$e^{4\mu} \sin 4\nu + a_1 e^{2\mu} \sin 2\nu \qquad - b_0 e^{4\mu}(2\mu \sin 4\nu + 2\nu \cos 4\nu)$$
$$- b_1 e^{2\mu}(2\mu \sin 2\nu + 2\nu \cos 2\nu) - 2\nu b_2 = 0 .$$

The system was solved by REDUCE [9]. The expressions for the coefficients are complicated but REDUCE produces an output in the form of Fortran statements that can be incorporated in a computer program for numerical experiments with such a method.

2.3 Minimax Methods

In this section we discuss minimax methods, i.e., methods obtained by satisfying conditions (9). These conditions can be written in terms of a_ℓ, b_ℓ as follows:

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} a_\ell e^{(k-\ell)\mu^{(j)}} \cos(k-\ell)\nu^{(j)}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_\ell e^{(k-\ell)\mu^{(j)}} \{ -\nu^{(j)} \sin(k-\ell)\nu^{(j)} + \mu^{(j)} \cos(k-\ell)\nu^{(j)} \}, \qquad (19)$$

$$j = 1, 2, \ldots, q ,$$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} a_\ell e^{(k-\ell)\mu^{(j)}} \sin(k-\ell)\nu^{(j)}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_\ell e^{(k-\ell)\mu^{(j)}} \{\nu^{(j)} \cos(k-\ell)\nu^{(j)} + \mu^{(j)} \sin(k-\ell)\nu^{(j)}\}, \qquad (20)$$

$$j = 1,2,\ldots,q ,$$

where $\mu^{(j)} = h\psi^{(j)}$, $\nu^{(j)} = hw^{(j)}$.

$ih\lambda^{(j)}$ are the zeros of the function $\zeta(ih\lambda)$ such that it has a small maximum norm in the rectangle $w_\ell \leq w \leq w_u$, $\psi_\ell \leq \psi \leq \psi_u$.

To obtain the best approximation in this case is certainly not easy; but we will assume that one can write $\zeta(ih\lambda^{(j)}) = \phi(\psi^{(j)}, w^{(j)})$ as a product of 2 one variable functions. Thus $\psi^{(j)}$ and $w^{(j)}$ can be taken as Chebyshev's points on the corresponding interval, i.e.

$$\psi^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_\ell + \psi_u) + \frac{1}{2}(\psi_u - \psi_\ell)\cos\frac{2j-1}{2q}\pi ,$$

$$\qquad (21)$$

$$w^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2}(w_\ell + w_u) + \frac{1}{2}(w_u - w_\ell)\cos\frac{2j-1}{2q}\pi ,$$

$$j = 1,2,\ldots,q .$$

For this choice of points, one can evaluate the coefficients a_ℓ, b_ℓ by solving

$$\zeta(0) = 0 ,$$

$$\qquad (22)$$

$$\zeta(\psi^{(j)}, w^{(j)}) = 0 , \qquad j = 1,2,\ldots,q .$$

We call such methods product minimax (PM^2).

The number of free parameters for implicit methods $2k+1$ and the number of equations is $2q+1$, thus, the trigonometric order q is equal to the step number k.

k = 1 Implicit

In this case

$$\psi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_\ell + \psi_u)$$

$$w^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(w_\ell + w_u) \qquad (23)$$

$$a_0 = 1 = -a_1$$

and the system of equations can be solved for b_0, b_1. This yields the coefficients given by (12) where

$$\mu = -h\psi^{(1)} \quad , \quad \nu = hw^{(1)} \quad . \qquad (24)$$

Thus, the product minimax method would suggest using the center of the rectangle $[\psi_\ell, \psi_u] \times [w_\ell, w_u]$ as λ_0. To obtain a product minimax method of trigonometric order 2, one has to solve a system of 5 equations similar to equation (18) with the unknowns b_0, b_1, b_2, a_1, a_2. The difference is that in the last 2 equations one should replace 2μ by $\mu^{(2)}$ and 2ν by $\nu^{(2)}$. In the second and third equations of (18), the μ, ν, should be replaced by $\mu^{(1)}$, $\nu^{(1)}$ respectively. The resulting system can be solved by MACSYMA [4] or REDUCE [9].

In the next section we implement two methods of trigonometric order 1 and 2 and see how the product minimax methods compare with fitting methods.

11

3. Numerical Example

Both systems (18) and (19)-(21) were solved by REDUCE which produced a FORTRAN subroutine for the evaluation of the coefficients. This subroutine is called only once during the integration.

The methods were compared for the solution of the initial value problem

$$\dot{z} - \frac{\pi}{2} i(1 + i)z = 0 , \quad 0 \leq t \leq 4 ,$$

$$z(0) = 1 \tag{25}$$

whose exact solution

$$z(t) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(1+i)t} , \tag{26}$$

thus

$$\lambda = \frac{\pi}{2}(1 + i) , \quad \psi = -\frac{\pi}{2} , \quad w = \frac{\pi}{2} . \tag{27}$$

In order to avoid complex arithmetic, we rewrite the differential equation as a system of equations for the real and imaginary part of $z = u + iv$.

$$\dot{u} + \frac{\pi}{2}(u + v) = 0 ,$$

$$0 \leq t \leq 4$$

$$\dot{v} - \frac{\pi}{2}(u - v) = 0 , \tag{28}$$

$$u(0) = 1 ,$$

$$v(0) = 0 .$$

The system is solved by fitting methods of trigonometric order
1 and 2 with h = .01 and various values of ψ and w.   In Table
1 we list the Euclidean norm of the error at t = 4.   It is
clear that the method is not sensitive to perturbations in the
values of ψ and w.

		error	
$\Delta\psi$	Δw	first order	second order
0	0	.3678(-12)	.4433(-12)
0	.1	.4482(-7)	.3508(-11)
.1	0	.4346(-7)	.2544(-11)
.1	.1	.6342(-7)	.4421(-11)
0	.2	.9241(-7)	.8126(-11)
.2	0	.8706(-7)	.5095(-11)

Table 1

Using the product minimax methods of trigonometric order
1 and 2 with h = .01 and various squares centered at $\psi = -\pi/2$,
w = $\pi/2$, the error is much larger but again is insensitive
to small perturbations in the length of the sides of the
squares.   In Table 2 we list the Euclidean norm of the error
at t = 4.

13

length of side	error	
	first order	second order
.4	.3678(-12)	.1469(-6)
.8	.3678(-12)	.2348(-5)
1.2	.3678(-12)	.1186(-4)
1.6	.3678(-12)	.3728(-4)
2	.3678(-12)	.9001(-4)

Table 2

Note that the perturbations in the product minimax methods are larger than those allowed in the fitting methods. It is possible that the larger errors in the product minimax methods are due to the assumption that c can be written as a product of 2 one variable functions. Also note that for the first order method, one always gets a good result since PM^2 always uses the center of the square.

Acknowledgment

REFERENCES

1. Babcock, P. D., Stutzman, L. F., Brandon, D. M., Improvements in a single-step integration algorithm, Simulation 28, 1 (1979), 1-10.

2. Brandon, D. M., A new single-step integration algorithm with A-stability and improved accuracy, Simulation 23, 1 (1974), 17.

3. Bettis, D. G., Numerical integration of products of Fourier and ordinary polynomials, Numer. Math., 14 (1970), 421-434.

4. Bogen, R. et al., MACSYMA Reference Manual, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1975.

5. Brock, P., Murray, F. J., The use of exponential sums in step by step integration, Math. Tables Aids Comput. 6 (1952), 63-78.

6. Carroll, J., Exponentially fitted one-step methods for the numerical solution of the scalar Riccati Equation, IMA J. Numer. Anal., to appear.

7. Dennis, S. C. R., The numerical integration of ordinary differential equations possessing exponential type solutions, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 65 (1960), 240-246.

8. Gautschi, W., Numerical integration of ordinary differential equations based on trigonometric polynomials, Numer. Math., 3 (1961), 381-397.

9. Hearn, A. C. (ed.), REDUCE user's manual, Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, CA, 1983.

10. van der Houwen, P. J., Sommeijer, B. P., Linear multistep methods with minimized truncation error for periodic initial value problem, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 4 (1984), 479-489.

11. Lambert, J. D., Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley, London, 1977.

12. Lyche, T., Chebyshevian multistep methods for ordinary differential equations, Numer. Math., 19 (1972), 65-75.

13. Neta, B., Ford, C. H., Families of methods for ordinary differential equations based on trigonometric polynomials, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 10 (1984), 33-38.

14. Neta, B., Families of backward differentiation methods based on trigonometric polynomials, Intern. J. Computer Math., to appear 1986.

15. Neta, B., Williams, R. T., Hinsman, D. E., Studies in a shallow water fluid model with topography, Proc. Eleventh IMACS World Congress, Oslo, Norway, August 5-9, 1985 (R. Vichnevetsky, ed.).

16. Pratt, D. T., Exponential-fitted methods for solving stiff systems of ordinary differential equations, Proc. Eleventh IMACS World Congress, Oslo, Norway, August 5-9, 1985 (R. Vichnevetsky, ed.).

17. Salzer, H. E., Trigonometric interpolation and predictor-corrector formulas for numerical integration, ZAMM, 42 (1962), 403-412.

18. Steifel, E., Bettis, D. G., Stabilization of Cowell's method, Numer. Math., 13 (1969), 154-175.

APPENDIX

Here we show that the shallow water equations with topography have a solution of the form $e^{\lambda x}$, where λ is complex. This system of equations consists of three equations with three forecast variables u, v and ϕ. The equations are:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\,\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\,\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - fv + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = 0 \, , \tag{A.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u\,\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\,\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + fu + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = 0 \, , \tag{A.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[u(\phi-\phi_B)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[v(\phi-\phi_B)] = 0 \, , \tag{A.3}$$

where $\phi = gh$ is the geopotential height (h = height of free surface), ϕ_B is the bottom topography (assumed to be independent of time), u, v are the components of the wind velocity in the x, y direction, respectively, and f is the Coriolis parameter. Linearizing the equations by letting

$$u = U + u' \, , \quad v = V + v' \, , \quad \phi = \phi + \phi' \, ,$$

where U, V are the constant mean flow and ϕ is independent of time. Assuming that U, V are related to ϕ via the geostrophic relations

$$U = -\frac{1}{f}\,\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \, , \quad V = \frac{1}{f}\,\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \tag{A.4}$$

one obtains the linear system (after dropping the primes):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - fv + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = 0 , \qquad (A.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + fu + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = 0 , \qquad (A.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (u\gamma)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (v\gamma)}{\partial y} = U \frac{\partial \phi_B}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial \phi_B}{\partial y} , \qquad (A.7)$$

where $\gamma = \phi - \phi_B$.

If the flow is assumed to be along the topography as in [15], then the right hand side of (A.7) is zero. In such a case, one can write the solution in the form

$$u = u_o e^{i(\xi x + \eta y - \sigma t)} ,$$

$$v = v_o e^{i(\xi x + \eta y - \sigma t)} , \qquad (A.8)$$

$$\phi = \phi_o e^{i(\xi x + \eta y - \sigma t)} ,$$

where

$$\xi = \mu - i\rho ,$$

$$\qquad (A.9)$$

$$\eta = \nu - i\theta .$$

In order for (A.8) to be a solution for (A.5)-(A.7), one must have

$$\lambda = -\sigma + \xi U + \eta V \qquad (A.10)$$

satisfying

18

$$i\lambda[(i\lambda)^2 - i\eta(i\eta\gamma + \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial y}] - f[-i\lambda f - i\xi(i\eta\gamma + \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial y})]$$

$$+ (i\xi\gamma + \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x})(-i\eta f + \xi\lambda) = 0 . \tag{A.11}$$

The real and imaginary parts of

$$\lambda_r = -\sigma + \mu U + \nu V ,$$

$$\tag{A.12}$$

$$\lambda_i = -\rho U - \theta V ,$$

satisfy the following system of equations (after dropping nonlinear terms in λ)

$$\lambda_r[f^2 + \gamma(\xi^2 + \eta^2)] + \lambda_i(\xi\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x} + \eta\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial y}) = f(\eta\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x} - \xi\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial y}) ,$$

$$\tag{A.13}$$

$$\lambda_r(\xi\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x} + \eta\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial y}) - \lambda_i[f^2 + \gamma(\xi^2 + \eta^2)] = 0 .$$

In general, λ is complex and, thus, the shallow water equations have a solution in the class of problems to be discussed here.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

PROFESSOR B. NETA   (10)
Code 53Nd
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CA   93943

PROFESSOR H.M. FREDRICKSEN
Code 53Fs
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CA   93943

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
Code 53
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CA   93943

LIBRARY, Code 0142
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CA   93943

PROFESSOR R. T. WILLIAMS
Code 63Wu
DEPARTMENT OF METEOROLOGY
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CA   93943

PROFESSOR C. S. LIOU
Code 63Lq
DEPARTMENT OF METEOROLOGY
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CA   93943

DR. I. M. NAVON
FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
SUPERCOMPUTER COMPUTATIONS RESEARCH
 INSTITUTE
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32306

PROFESSOR GEORGE J. FIX
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT ARLINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ARLINGTON, TX   76019

PROFESSOR PAUL NELSON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY
LUBBOCK, TX   79409

PROFESSOR H. DEAN VICTORY, JR.
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY
LUBBOCK, TX   79409

RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION OFFICE
Code 012
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CA   93943

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION
 CENTER   (2)
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VA   22217

PROFESSOR P. J. VANDERHOUWEN
CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICS &
COMPUTER SCIENCE
DEPARTMENT OF NUMERICAL
 MATHEMATICS
KRUISLAAN 413
1098 SJ AMSTERDAM
THE NETHERLANDS